

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BRADY LEE KNOTTS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:14CV23
(Judge Keeley)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
OPINION/REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B), Rule 72(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Court Rule 4.01(d), on February 5, 2014, the Court referred this Social Security action to United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert with directions to submit proposed findings of fact and a recommendation for disposition.

On December 2, 2014, Magistrate Judge Seibert filed his Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), and directed the parties, in accord with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and Rule 6(e), Fed. R. Civ. P., to file with the Clerk of Court any written objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of the R&R. He further directed the parties that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of this Court. The parties did not file any objections.

Upon consideration of Magistrate Judge Seibert's recommendation and having received no written objections,¹ the

¹ The failure of the parties to object to the Report and Recommendation not only waives their appellate rights in this

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Court accepts and approves the R&R, and **ORDERS** that the R&R is accepted in whole and that this civil action be disposed of in accordance with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Court

1. **GRANTS** the Commissioner's motion for Summary Judgment (dkt. no. 17);
2. **DENIES** the plaintiff's motion for Summary Judgment (dkt. no. 14); and
3. **DISMISSES** this civil action **WITH PREJUDICE** and **RETIREES** it from the docket of this Court.

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 58, the Court directs the Clerk of Court to enter a separate judgment order and to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record.

DATED: February 5, 2015.

/s/ Irene M. Keeley
IRENE M. KEELEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

matter, but also relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. See Wells v. Shriners Hospital, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4th Cir. 1997); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).